UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,397	05/15/2006	Akiyoshi Okamoto	060346	6577
	7590 08/20/200 T OS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			CHEN, SHIN HON	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/579,397	OKAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHIN-HON CHEN	2431			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/15/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/579,397 Page 2

Art Unit: 2431

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1-24 are not clear as to what is being claimed (method, system, device, or computer readable storage medium). Applicant is advised to specifically claim either a method, system, device, or computer readable storage medium and write all necessary information within independent claims to assist the examiner in understanding what is actually claimed. Furthermore, the dependency of claims should be carefully applied to avoid confusion. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pedlow, Jr. et al. U.S. Pat. No. 7218738 (hereinafter Pedlow).
- 5. As per claim 1-24, Pedlow mainly disclose a method of partially encrypting a data packet by using a program map table to determine specific sets of data to be encrypted and omitting certain other bits for encryption to allow other types of encryption or no encryption to be applied

on the other set of data (Pedlow: abstract and column 3 lines 52-67: selective encryption encoder). Pedlow does not explicitly disclose identical method as claimed in claims 1-24.

Page 3

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to apply partial encryption to omit certain data from encryption because it increased enhanced security by making encryption process more complicated and unpredictable.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

.Yu U.S. Pub. No. 20040028227 discloses partial encryption of stream-formatted media. Candelore et al. U.S. Pat. No. 7302059 discloses star pattern partial encryption.

Asai et al. U.S. Pat. No. 7228423 discloses apparatus for decrypting encrypted data and locating the decrypted data in a memory space used for execution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHIN-HON CHEN whose telephone number is (571)272-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,397 Page 4

Art Unit: 2431

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shin-Hon Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2431

/Shin-Hon Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431